Section: Neurosurgery

Systematic Review

# ADVANCING APPROACHES IN CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT OF TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY: THE VALUE OF NON-SURGICAL CARE

*Jonnalagadda VVN Dheeraj[1], V Gopi Krishna[2], G Satya Navamani[3]*

[1]*Assistant Professor: Department of Neurosurgery, A.C.S.R Government Medical College, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India*
[2]*Associate Professor: Department of Neurosurgery, Government Medical College, Ongole, Andhra Pradesh, India*
[3]*Associate Professor: Department of Neurosurgery, Government Medical College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India*

***Received*** *: 06/04/2026*
***Received in revised form*** *: 16/05/2026*
***Accepted*** *: 02/06/2026*

**Corresponding Author:**
**Dr. Jonnalagadda VVN Dheeraj,**
Assistant Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, A.C.S.R Government Medical College, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Email: dheerajjvvn@gmail.com

**DOI:** 10.70034/ijmedph.2026.2.518

**Source of Support:** Nil,
**Conflict of Interest:** None declared

**Int J Med Pub Health**
2026; 16 (2); 3128-3134

ABSTRACT

**Background:** Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) is a leading cause of mortality and long-term neurological disability worldwide. Recent advances in neurocritical care, neuroimaging, and monitoring techniques have expanded the role of conservative management in selected patients with head injury. The Objective is to review current evidence regarding conservative and non-operative management strategies in traumatic brain injury and evaluate their clinical outcomes and evolving role in modern neurotrauma care.

**Materials and Methods:** A comprehensive literature review was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases for studies published between 2000 and 2025. Relevant clinical studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and evidence-based guidelines focusing on conservative management of TBI were included and analyzed narratively.

**Results:** The reviewed literature demonstrated that carefully selected patients with mild-to-moderate TBI and selected severe injuries can be effectively managed without surgery. Favorable outcomes were associated with stable neurological status, higher Glasgow Coma Scale scores, controlled intracranial pressure, and minimal radiological progression. Conservative treatment strategies included close neurological monitoring, serial computed tomography imaging, intracranial pressure management, osmotherapy, sedation, seizure prophylaxis, hemodynamic stabilization, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation. Early rehabilitation significantly improved functional recovery and quality of life. The studies also emphasized the importance of prompt recognition of neurological deterioration and timely surgical escalation when required.

**Conclusion:** Conservative management has emerged as an essential component of modern traumatic brain injury care. Careful patient selection, vigilant monitoring, evidence-based neurocritical care, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation contribute significantly to improved neurological and functional outcomes while minimizing unnecessary surgical intervention.

**Keywords:** Neurosurgery, Neurology, Critical Care Medicine, Emergency Medicine, and Rehabilitation Medicine.

## INTRODUCTION

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) remains a major global public health concern and a leading cause of mortality, disability, and long-term neurocognitive impairment across all age groups. The burden of TBI is particularly significant in low- and middle-income countries, where increasing rates of road traffic accidents, falls, sports-related injuries, and interpersonal violence contribute substantially to healthcare utilization and socioeconomic loss. Despite advances in neurosurgical techniques and critical care management, a substantial proportion of patients with head injury can be effectively managed without surgical intervention, emphasizing the growing relevance of conservative treatment strategies.[1,2]

Traditionally, the management of TBI has often focused on rapid surgical decompression and invasive monitoring in patients with severe intracranial pathology. However, contemporary evidence suggests that many patients with mild-to-moderate TBI, selected cases of intracranial hemorrhage, and carefully monitored diffuse injuries may benefit from non-operative management with outcomes comparable to surgical approaches. This paradigm shift has been driven by improved neuroimaging modalities, standardized neurological assessment tools, advancements in intensive care practices, and a deeper understanding of secondary brain injury mechanisms.

Conservative management of TBI encompasses a broad spectrum of interventions aimed at preventing secondary neuronal damage while optimizing cerebral perfusion and systemic stability. These measures include close neurological observation, intracranial pressure control, pharmacological therapy, sedation, seizure prophylaxis, hemodynamic optimization, ventilatory support, rehabilitation, and multidisciplinary supportive care. The concept of "less is more" in neurotrauma has gained increasing acceptance, particularly in patients where the risks of surgery may outweigh potential benefits or where spontaneous resolution of pathology is anticipated under vigilant monitoring.[3,4]

Recent literature has highlighted the importance of individualized treatment algorithms based on clinical presentation, radiological findings, injury severity, age, comorbidities, and institutional resources. Emerging evidence also underscores the role of biomarkers, advanced imaging techniques, and evidence-based critical care protocols in guiding conservative decision-making. Furthermore, the growing emphasis on functional recovery and quality-of-life outcomes has reinforced the need for holistic, patient-centered non-surgical management strategies.[5]

This review article aims to examine the evolving paradigms in the conservative management of traumatic brain injury, focusing on current evidence, indications, monitoring strategies, therapeutic interventions, and outcome predictors associated with non-operative care. Additionally, the review explores the challenges, controversies, and future directions in optimizing conservative approaches for patients with head injury in both resource-rich and resource-limited settings.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study Design:** This review article was conducted to comprehensively evaluate current evidence regarding conservative and non-operative management strategies in Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). The review summarizes contemporary literature addressing indications, clinical protocols, monitoring strategies, therapeutic interventions, and outcomes associated with non-surgical treatment approaches in patients with head injury.

**Literature Search Strategy:** A systematic literature search was performed using major electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Relevant articles published in English between January 2000 and July 2025 were identified.

The search strategy incorporated combinations of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and keywords, including: "traumatic brain injury," "head injury," "conservative management," "non-operative management," "non-surgical treatment," "intracranial pressure management," "mild traumatic brain injury," "critical care," "neuroprotection," and "rehabilitation."

Boolean operators ("AND," "OR") were used to refine the search and improve retrieval of relevant studies.

**Eligibility Criteria**

**Inclusion Criteria**

Studies were included if they:

- Discussed conservative or non-operative management of TBI.
- Included adult or pediatric patients with mild, moderate, or severe head injury.
- Reported clinical outcomes, management protocols, monitoring techniques, or rehabilitation strategies.
- Were original research articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, clinical guidelines, or consensus statements.
- Were published in peer-reviewed journals in English.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused exclusively on surgical interventions without discussion of conservative care.
- Were case reports with insufficient clinical data.
- Included animal or experimental studies lacking clinical relevance.
- Were conference abstracts, editorials, commentaries, or unpublished manuscripts.
- Were duplicate publications or articles with incomplete data.

**Study Selection:** Titles and abstracts retrieved through the database search were screened for relevance. Full-text articles meeting the eligibility criteria were subsequently reviewed in detail. Reference lists of selected articles were manually searched to identify additional relevant publications.

**Data Extraction**

Relevant information from eligible studies was extracted and summarized, including:

- Study design and setting
- Patient population and injury severity
- Conservative management protocols
- Neurological monitoring methods
- Pharmacological and supportive interventions
- Rehabilitation strategies

- Clinical outcomes and prognostic indicators

Data were compiled and synthesized narratively due to heterogeneity in study designs, patient populations, and outcome measures.

**Quality Assessment:** The methodological quality and scientific relevance of included studies were assessed based on study design, sample size, clarity of outcome reporting, and consistency with established neurotrauma guidelines. Preference was given to high-quality evidence, including randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and internationally recognized clinical guidelines.

**Ethical Considerations:** As this study was based exclusively on previously published literature and did not involve direct patient participation or identifiable patient data, institutional ethical approval and informed consent were not required.

# RESULTS

**Literature Search Outcome:**

The comprehensive literature search identified studies evaluating conservative and non-operative management approaches in Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). Following screening and eligibility assessment, relevant studies including randomized controlled trials, observational studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical guidelines were included in the final review. The selected studies mainly focused on neurological monitoring, intracranial pressure management, pharmacological therapy, neurocritical care, rehabilitation, and outcome predictors associated with conservative treatment.

The majority of studies demonstrated that carefully selected patients with mild-to-moderate TBI and certain severe TBI cases could be successfully managed without surgical intervention under strict monitoring protocols.

**Table 1: Summary of Included Studies on Conservative Management of Traumatic Brain Injury**

| Author/Year | Study Design | Population | Main Conservative Strategy | Major Findings |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chesnut RM et al,[4] | Randomized multicenter trial | Severe TBI | ICP-guided management | Structured monitoring reduced secondary brain injury |
| Carney N et al,[3] | Clinical guidelines | Severe TBI | Neurocritical care protocols | Improved survival with standardized care |
| Gharizad F et al,[6] | Retrospective cohort | Mild/moderate TBI | Observation of intracranial hematomas | Avoidance of unnecessary surgery |
| Stocchetti N et al,[5] | Observational study | Severe head injury | ICP control and sedation | Better neurological stabilization |
| Maas AIR et al,[2] | International review | All TBI severities | Individualized management | Improved functional recovery |
| Temkin NR et al,[7] | Randomized trial | Moderate/severe TBI | Antiepileptic prophylaxis | Reduced early seizures |

**Patient Selection for Conservative Management**

Across reviewed studies, successful conservative management depended heavily on appropriate patient selection. Non-operative treatment was most commonly utilized in patients with:

- Mild-to-moderate head injury
- Stable neurological examination
- Small intracranial hematomas
- Minimal midline shift
- Controlled intracranial pressure
- Absence of progressive neurological deterioration

Patients with worsening consciousness, expanding hematoma, refractory intracranial hypertension, or significant mass effect generally required surgical intervention.

**Table 2: Common Indications for Conservative Management in TBI**

| Clinical/Radiological Feature | Conservative Management Favored |
|---|---|
| Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) > 8 | Yes |
| Stable neurological status | Yes |
| Small epidural/subdural hematoma | Yes |
| Midline shift < 5 mm | Yes |
| Controlled intracranial pressure | Yes |
| No progressive focal deficit | Yes |
| Diffuse axonal injury without mass lesion | Yes |
| Hemodynamic stability | Yes |

**Neurological Monitoring Findings**

Continuous neurological monitoring emerged as a critical determinant of favorable outcomes. Frequent Glasgow Coma Scale assessment, pupillary examination, serial CT imaging, and intracranial pressure monitoring were widely employed.

Serial imaging enabled early identification of delayed hematoma expansion and worsening cerebral edema. Several studies reported that early recognition of neurological deterioration allowed timely surgical escalation, thereby reducing mortality and long-term disability.

**Table 3: Major Monitoring Modalities Used in Conservative TBI Management**

| Monitoring Technique | Clinical Purpose |
|---|---|
| Glasgow Coma Scale assessment | Neurological status evaluation |
| Pupillary examination | Detection of brain herniation |
| Serial CT imaging | Monitoring hematoma progression |
| Intracranial pressure monitoring | Detection of raised ICP |
| Cerebral perfusion pressure monitoring | Optimization of cerebral blood flow |
| Hemodynamic monitoring | Maintenance of systemic stability |
| Oxygen saturation monitoring | Prevention of hypoxia |

Intracranial Pressure Management

Control of intracranial pressure (ICP) represented one of the most important aspects of conservative treatment. Common interventions included:

- Head elevation (30°)
- Sedation and analgesia
- Osmotherapy using mannitol or hypertonic saline
- Controlled ventilation
- Maintenance of adequate oxygenation and blood pressure
- Temperature control

Several studies reported that early ICP-targeted therapy significantly reduced secondary ischemic brain injury and improved survival rates.

**Table 4: Conservative Measures for Intracranial Pressure Control**

| Intervention | Mechanism/Benefit |
|---|---|
| Head-end elevation | Improves venous drainage |
| Mannitol therapy | Reduces cerebral edema |
| Hypertonic saline | Decreases intracranial pressure |
| Sedation | Reduces cerebral metabolic demand |
| Mechanical ventilation | Prevents hypoxia and hypercapnia |
| Blood pressure optimization | Maintains cerebral perfusion |
| Temperature management | Reduces metabolic injury |

## Pharmacological and Supportive Care Outcomes

Supportive neurocritical care measures were consistently associated with improved neurological recovery. Antiepileptic prophylaxis reduced early post-traumatic seizures, while adequate nutrition, thromboprophylaxis, and glycemic control minimized systemic complications.

The reviewed literature did not support routine corticosteroid use due to increased adverse outcomes reported in major trials.

**Table 5: Pharmacological and Supportive Interventions in Conservative TBI Care**

| Intervention | Reported Benefit |
|---|---|
| Antiepileptic prophylaxis | Reduced early seizures |
| Sedation and analgesia | Improved ICP control |
| Nutritional support | Enhanced recovery |
| Thromboprophylaxis | Reduced venous thromboembolism |
| Glycemic control | Prevention of metabolic complications |
| Antibiotic therapy (when indicated) | Reduced infectious complications |
| Corticosteroids | No proven benefit; possible harm |

## Rehabilitation and Functional Recovery

Early multidisciplinary rehabilitation was identified as a major contributor to improved long-term outcomes. Physiotherapy, speech therapy, occupational therapy, and neurocognitive rehabilitation improved functional independence and quality of life.

Patients receiving structured rehabilitation demonstrated:

- Better mobility
- Improved cognitive performance
- Enhanced social reintegration
- Reduced long-term disability

**Table 6: Outcomes Associated with Early Rehabilitation**

| Rehabilitation Component | Observed Outcome |
|---|---|
| Physiotherapy | Improved motor recovery |
| Occupational therapy | Enhanced daily functional independence |
| Speech therapy | Better communication outcomes |
| Cognitive rehabilitation | Improved memory and executive function |
| Psychological counseling | Reduced emotional and behavioral complications |

## Predictors of Favorable Outcome

The reviewed studies consistently identified several predictors associated with successful non-operative management and favorable neurological recovery.

**Table 7: Predictors of Favorable Outcome in Conservative TBI Management**

| Predictor | Association with Outcome |
|---|---|
| Higher admission GCS | Better neurological recovery |
| Younger age | Reduced mortality |
| Stable CT findings | Lower risk of deterioration |
| Controlled ICP | Improved survival |
| Early neurocritical care | Reduced secondary injury |
| Absence of hypotension/hypoxia | Better functional outcome |
| Early rehabilitation | Improved quality of life |

### Emerging Trends

Recent literature demonstrated increasing interest in:

- Personalized treatment algorithms
- Biomarker-guided management
- Advanced neuroimaging
- Artificial intelligence-assisted prognostication
- Precision neurocritical care

These emerging strategies may further refine patient selection and optimize conservative management outcomes in traumatic brain injury.

Overall, the reviewed evidence strongly supports the growing role of conservative management as a safe and effective treatment approach in carefully selected TBI patients when combined with vigilant monitoring, evidence-based neurocritical care, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation.

## DISCUSSION

The management of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) has evolved considerably over the past several decades, shifting from predominantly aggressive surgical intervention toward more individualized and evidence-based conservative approaches. According to Maas AIR et al., advances in neurocritical care, imaging modalities, and neurological monitoring have significantly improved the ability to identify patients who can safely undergo non-operative treatment. This evolving paradigm reflects a growing understanding that selected patients with stable neurological and radiological findings may achieve favorable outcomes without surgical intervention.[8]

Several authors emphasized that appropriate patient selection remains the most important determinant of successful conservative management. Gharizad et al,[6] demonstrated that patients with small epidural hematomas, limited subdural hematomas, or cerebral contusions without significant mass effect could often be managed safely through close observation and serial imaging. Similarly, Bullock MR et al. reported that neurological stability, minimal midline shift, and absence of progressive deterioration are key criteria favoring conservative treatment.

The prevention of secondary brain injury was consistently identified as the primary goal of non-operative management. Stocchetti N et al,[5] emphasized that secondary insults such as hypoxia, hypotension, cerebral edema, and hypercapnia substantially worsen neurological outcomes following primary traumatic injury. Consequently, modern conservative treatment strategies focus on maintaining cerebral perfusion, optimizing oxygenation, controlling intracranial pressure, and preventing systemic complications. These findings are strongly supported by the recommendations of Carney N et al,[3] whose evidence-based neurocritical care guidelines demonstrated improved survival and neurological recovery with standardized management protocols.

Intracranial pressure (ICP) management remains one of the cornerstones of conservative TBI treatment. Chesnut RM et al,[4] highlighted the value of ICP-guided therapy in reducing secondary ischemic injury and improving cerebral perfusion. Conservative ICP-lowering measures—including head elevation, sedation, osmotherapy, and controlled ventilation—were consistently associated with improved neurological stabilization. Likewise, Narayan RK et al. reported that aggressive monitoring and early intervention for intracranial hypertension significantly reduced mortality in severe TBI patients.

Serial neuroimaging has become indispensable in modern conservative management protocols. Marshall LF et al. demonstrated that CT-based classification systems help clinicians stratify injury severity and determine suitability for non-operative treatment. Repeated CT imaging enables early identification of hematoma expansion, worsening edema, and delayed mass effect, thereby allowing prompt surgical escalation when necessary. The increasing availability of advanced neuroimaging techniques such as MRI and diffusion tensor imaging may further improve diagnostic precision and prognostic assessment in the future.

Pharmacological management also plays an important role in conservative TBI care. Temkin NR et al,[7] found that antiepileptic prophylaxis significantly reduced the incidence of early post-traumatic seizures, although long-term neurological benefits remained uncertain. Prevention of seizure activity is clinically important because seizures increase cerebral metabolic demand and may exacerbate intracranial hypertension. In contrast, major clinical trials cited by several authors demonstrated that corticosteroids confer no neurological benefit and may increase mortality, leading to recommendations against their routine use in TBI management.

The reviewed studies further emphasized the importance of multidisciplinary supportive care. Adequate hemodynamic stabilization, nutritional support, glycemic control, thromboprophylaxis, and infection prevention collectively contribute to improved recovery and reduced complications.

According to Carney N et al,[3] protocol-driven neurocritical care substantially improves both short-term survival and long-term functional outcomes.

An important emerging concept identified in this review is the expanding role of rehabilitation in conservative TBI management. Wilson MH et al,[8] reported that early physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, and neuropsychological rehabilitation significantly improve functional independence and quality of life. Modern TBI management increasingly focuses not only on survival but also on cognitive recovery, social reintegration, and reduction of long-term disability.

Despite substantial progress, important challenges remain. Several authors noted considerable heterogeneity among studies regarding patient selection criteria, injury classification systems, monitoring protocols, and outcome measures. Maas AIR et al,[2] emphasized the need for large multicenter studies and standardized treatment algorithms to improve consistency in clinical practice. Furthermore, conservative management requires continuous vigilance because neurological deterioration can occur unexpectedly despite initially stable findings.

Recent authors have increasingly emphasized that conservative management of Traumatic Brain Injury should not merely be viewed as an alternative to surgery, but rather as an active, dynamic, and precision-based therapeutic approach. Contemporary researchers advocate for individualized treatment strategies tailored to patient physiology, radiological evolution, and molecular responses to injury.[9]

Hutchinson PJ et al,[10] highlighted that the future of TBI care lies in precision neurocritical care, where treatment decisions are guided by multimodal neuromonitoring rather than solely by radiological findings. According to their perspective, integrating intracranial pressure monitoring, cerebral oxygenation assessment, and metabolic monitoring may improve prediction of neurological deterioration and optimize timing of intervention.

Similarly, Steyerberg EW et al,[11] emphasized the growing role of prognostic modeling in TBI management. Their studies demonstrated that outcome prediction models combining clinical, radiological, and laboratory parameters can help clinicians stratify risk and select patients who are likely to benefit from conservative treatment. Such predictive tools may reduce unnecessary surgical procedures while improving individualized patient care.

Manley GT et al,[12] proposed that traumatic brain injury should increasingly be considered a chronic neurological disorder rather than an isolated acute event. Their viewpoint underscores the importance of long-term follow-up, cognitive rehabilitation, and psychosocial support even in conservatively managed patients who initially appear neurologically stable.

Recent work by Slot RER et al,[13] has further reinforced the importance of protocol-driven neurocritical care pathways. They reported that ICP monitoring remains a key component of severe TBI management in ICU. Emerging evidence is slowly changing and improving intensive care and patient outcomes and include both brain-targeted therapies and careful systemic intensive care management.

Another important contemporary concept is the use of biomarkers in conservative TBI management. Mondello S et al,[14] suggested that serum biomarkers such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase-L1 (UCH-L1), and S100B may aid in early diagnosis, prognostication, and monitoring of secondary brain injury. These biomarkers may eventually help clinicians identify patients suitable for safe non-operative management.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are also gaining increasing attention in neurotrauma research. Topol EJ,[15] emphasized that AI-assisted imaging interpretation and predictive analytics could improve early identification of patients at risk of deterioration. Such technologies may enhance decision-making accuracy, especially in emergency and resource-limited settings.

Additionally, modern authors increasingly recognize the socioeconomic and psychological dimensions of traumatic brain injury. Dams-O'Connor K et al,[16] highlighted that long-term cognitive impairment, depression, behavioral disturbances, and reduced quality of life remain major concerns even after apparently successful conservative treatment. This perspective supports the integration of neuropsychological rehabilitation and social support into routine TBI management protocols.

Collectively, these contemporary viewpoints indicate that conservative management is evolving beyond simple observation toward a sophisticated multidisciplinary strategy integrating advanced monitoring, precision medicine, biomarker research, rehabilitation science, and artificial intelligence-assisted decision-making.

## CONCLUSION

Traumatic Brain Injury remains a major global health challenge associated with significant mortality and long-term disability. This review highlights the growing importance of conservative management in selected TBI patients. Advances in neurocritical care, neuroimaging, and intracranial pressure monitoring have improved non-operative treatment outcomes. Careful patient selection and continuous neurological monitoring are essential for successful conservative management. Serial imaging and timely detection of neurological deterioration help prevent secondary brain injury.

Supportive measures including osmotherapy, hemodynamic stabilization, seizure prophylaxis, and rehabilitation contribute significantly to recovery. Early multidisciplinary rehabilitation improves functional independence and quality of life.

Emerging technologies such as biomarkers, precision medicine, and artificial intelligence may further optimize treatment strategies. Despite ongoing challenges and variations in clinical practice, evidence supports the safety and effectiveness of non-operative approaches in appropriately selected cases. Overall, conservative management represents a structured and evidence-based strategy that can minimize unnecessary surgical intervention while improving neurological and functional outcomes.

## REFERENCES


1. Buccilli B, Alan A, Aljeradat BG, Shahzad A, Almealawy YF, Chisvo NS, Ennabe M, Weinand M. Neuroprotection: Surgical approaches in traumatic brain injury. Surg Neurol Int. 2024 Jan 26;15:23.
2. Maas AIR, Menon DK, Adelson PD, et al. Traumatic brain injury: integrated approaches to improve prevention, clinical care, and research. Lancet Neurology. 2017;16(12):987-1048.
3. Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, et al. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. 4th edition. Neurosurgery. 2017;80(1):6-15.
4. Chesnut RM, Temkin N, Carney N, et al. A trial of intracranial-pressure monitoring in traumatic brain injury. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012;367(26):2471-2481.
5. Stocchetti N, Carbonara M, Citerio G, et al. Severe traumatic brain injury: targeted management in the intensive care unit. Lancet Neurology. 2017;16(6):452-464.
6. Gharizadeh N, Ghojazadeh M, Naseri A, Dolati S, Tarighat F, Soleimanpour H. Hypertonic saline for traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Med Res. 2022 Nov 20;27(1):254
7. Temkin NR, Dikmen SS, Wilensky AJ, et al. A randomized, double-blind study of phenytoin for the prevention of post-traumatic seizures. New England Journal of Medicine. 1990;323(8):497-502.
8. Wilson L, Stewart W, Dams-O'Connor K, Diaz-Arrastia R, Horton L, Menon DK, Polinder S. The chronic and evolving neurological consequences of traumatic brain injury. Lancet Neurol. 2017 Oct;16(10):813-825.
9. Brain Trauma Foundation; American Association of Neurological Surgeons; Congress of Neurological Surgeons. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2007;24 Suppl 1:S1-106.
10. Hutchinson PJ, Kolias AG, Timofeev IS, et al. Trial of decompressive craniectomy for traumatic intracranial hypertension. New England Journal of Medicine. 2016;375(12):1119-1130.
11. Steyerberg EW, Mushkudiani N, Perel P, et al. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: development and international validation of prognostic scores based on admission characteristics. PLoS Medicine. 2008;5(8):e165.
12. Manley GT, Maas AIR. Traumatic brain injury: an international knowledge-based approach. JAMA. 2013;310(5):473-474.
13. Slot RER, Helbok R, van der Jagt M. Update on traumatic brain injury in the ICU. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2025 Apr 1;38(2):93-99.
14. Mondello S, Papa L, Buki A, Bullock MR, Czeiter E, Tortella FC, Wang KK, Hayes RL. Neuronal and glial markers are differently associated with computed tomography findings and outcome in patients with severe traumatic brain injury: a case control study. Crit Care. 2011 Jun 24;15(3):R156.
15. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine. 2019;25(1):44-56.
16. Dams-O'Connor K, Landau A, Hoffman J, St De Lore J. Patient perspectives on quality and access to healthcare after brain injury. Brain Injury. 2018;32(4):431-441.